

Usefulness of intermittent pneumatic compression in medicine

DOI: 10.1515/physio-2016-0010

Edyta Sutkowska

Department and Division of Medical Rehabilitation, Wrocław Medical University, Poland

Abstract

Many studies exist that document the use of intermittent pneumatic compression in lymphedema, venous ulcers, prophylaxis of deep vein thrombosis and limb ischaemia. This article discusses the basics and usefulness of this therapy on the basis of available studies and recommendations. As the method is characterized by lack of serious side effects, good patient compliance, and high effectiveness, intermittent pneumatic compression should be taken into consideration as an alternative or additional treatment in many conditions.

Key words: intermittent pneumatic compression, chronic venous insufficiency, lymphedema, critical limb ischaemia

Introduction

In the 21st century, physiotherapy is a very complex academic discipline owing to the dynamic development of different branches of medicine which have displaced physiotherapy from its traditional role in the treatment of patients. Undoubtedly, this is a discipline which requires patience from both therapists and patients, which, in today's fast paced life, may be difficult to accept, especially by patients. Finally, the efficacy of some forms of physiotherapy is undermined because of lack of evidence-based medicine support, which in accordance with the current knowledge forms the grounds for acknowledgment as proper therapy, inclusion into the guidelines, as well as reimbursement of services by the national health service. Meanwhile, the increasingly ageing society presents a new challenge to physiotherapists owing to numerous chronic illnesses which require multiple drug therapy; this, in turn, is bound with many side effects. Physiotherapeutic procedures lack serious side effects and may be performed multiple times because there are no special contraindications to their application.

One of the established therapies is intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC), which originated in the 19th century [1]. There exist many studies documenting the use of this technique in different illnesses. The overwhelming majority of the applications refer to elderly patients.

Mechanism of action

Currently, in the majority of countries IPC is most often performed in the therapy of lymphedema or venous ulceration, possibly in the treatment of significant oedema of veno-lymphatic aetiology. These indications result from the mechanical, as well as systemic effects of IPC. A special pump successively inflates and deflates the cuff to promote the return of blood from the tissues. The pressure applied externally is transferred to deeply situated tissues and in this way moves the retained fluids from the subcutaneous tissues, venules and small lymphatic vessels to larger vessels and finally into the main vascular trunks, from which the fluids are directed towards the heart. On the other hand, the provoked tempo-

ral ischaemia of the skin during the compression phase results in hyperaemia due to the mechanism of reactive vasodilatation, which increases the blood flow to the peripheral arteries [2–7]. In this way (a reduction of venous pressure with an increase of arterial pressure) [8], the arterial-venous pressure gradient increases, thus improving the perfusion of the tissues, as well as their oxygenation and nourishment [9–11]. Apart from the described mechanism of action, this form of intermittent compression affects coagulation and is responsible for releasing many substances beneficial to the vessel walls [4, 12–16].

Chronic venous insufficiency

Because of the reasons described above, IPC is not considered controversial in the treatment of venous insufficiency, mainly in active venous ulcers and in venous thromboembolism (VTE) prophylaxis, or in lymphatic insufficiency [13, 17–24]. The lack of consensus in regard to the recommendations for venous ulceration treatment results from differences across many studies with reference to such factors as: the pressure applied in the therapies, the inflation and deflation time and their proportions, the number of chambers used, the total duration of the therapy, the disease severity (the total area of the ulcer). An additional issue is small sample sizes. Despite these problems, however, the results of randomized controlled trials suggest that IPC may reinforce the healing process as compared with no compression, especially if applied as an additional procedure [25].

Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis

The positive effects of IPC on the coagulation system result from improving at least two of the Virchow's triad elements (increasing venous blood flow velocity and reversing hypercoagulability by moderating the procoagulant activity through elevation of the D-dimer level). This has led to accepting the method in VTE prophylaxis in a selected group of patients. The American College of Chest Physicians has recommended mechanical methods (foot or low leg pneumatic pump) alone primarily in patients at high risk of bleeding [26] and, because

of their extra benefit, as an additional modality in prophylactic procedures in patients after orthopaedic surgery [27–30]. The same indications are found in the Polish guidelines [31].

Complete decongestive therapy

In the treatment of lymphedema, despite the lack of consensus [32–39] regarding additional benefits of IPC, it is an integral part of complete decongestive therapy. This comprehensive procedure is useful in the treatment and prevention of lymphedema after breast cancer surgery, but it turns out effective in any case of lymphedema not only because of its very action but also owing to good patient compliance. Serious complications are rare, although skin bullae, itching at the compression site, and other minor adverse effects have been reported.

With the consideration of the pathophysiology of lymphedema and venous or lymphatic insufficiency, as well as the risk factors of deep vein thrombosis, the use of IPC is widely accepted. Despite the limited access to the appropriate devices, the awareness of IPC application in the above described conditions is quite large.

Sports injuries

Oedema is a cause of pain and may be responsible for further tissue destruction. Therefore, IPC is a physical method often utilized in sports medicine, and it constitutes an element of the RICE (rest, ice, compression, elevation) method, applied in the treatment of acute injuries [40].

Critical limb ischaemia

Other potential indications are unfortunately less appealing to physicians and physiotherapists. The effect is that in many countries, including Poland, IPC is not indicated or even remains contraindicated in the treatment in diabetic foot or limb ischaemia. This misunderstanding and lack of knowledge regarding the benefits of IPC deprive many patients of the opportunity for optimal treatment.

Reduction of oedema, anticoagulant activity, as well as vasodilatation resulting from nitric oxide (the most powerful vasodilator) [41] release should entice physicians to a wider

use of IPC in cases of critical limb ischaemia and diabetic foot (neuropathic and related to insufficient blood flow) [42]. An undeniable benefit of IPC is the significant reduction in pain in these patients. Much evidence exists conforming the efficacy of IPC in the group of patients who have no surgical (revascularization) option [8, 43–49]. A variation of rubber calf therapy could be a plastic device – circulator boot [50, 51]. It is also used to locally apply active substances, e.g. antibiotics. Considering the benefits reported by some authors, application of this therapy can be regarded an additional procedure in patients with claudication [10, 16, 52]. This refers to patients who cannot be properly treated with the traditional method, i.e. walking exercise (e.g. because of rheumatoid arthritis).

In the literature, individual reports can be found about reduced risk of amputation and improved healing after revascularization procedure in patients in whom IPC was applied [53]. Patients with cholesterol embolism may also benefit from this treatment [54].

Despite unsatisfactory conclusions (low-quality evidence) drawn by two independent authors of systematic reviews [43, 44] concerning IPC implementation in ischaemic legs, one should remember that there is no alternative therapy for patients who do not qualify for revascularization procedures and suffer from rest pain and/or ischaemic ulceration.

Summary

IPC as a primary or supplementary treatment seems to be a relevant alternative in the management of chronic venous and lymphatic insufficiency, as well as, paradoxically, limb ischaemia. Additionally, in acute conditions such as limb injury or cholesterol embolism, the inclusion of IPC in the treatment should also be considered.

Because of its low cost, as well as ease of application, the described method can be implemented both in hospital or ambulatory settings and by properly trained patients at home. The possibility of multiple application and almost complete lack of side effects constitute a strong argument for including this treatment in chronic therapy.

Conflict of interest statement:

Authors state no conflict of interest.

References

1. Koch CA. External leg compression in the treatment of vascular disease. *Angiology*. 1997;48(5):S3–S15; doi: 10.1177/0003319797048005S02.
2. Eze AR, Comerota AJ, Cisek PL, Holland BS, Kerr RP, Veeramasuneni R, et al. Intermittent calf and foot compression increases lower extremity blood flow. *Am J Surg*. 1996;172(2):130–134; doi: 10.1016/S0002-9610(96)00134-1.
3. Trent JT, Falabella A, Eaglstein WH, Kirsner RS. Venous ulcers: pathophysiology and treatment options. *Ostomy Wound Manage*. 2005;51(5):38–54.
4. Morris RJ, Woodcock JP. Evidence-based compression: prevention of stasis and deep vein thrombosis. *Ann Surg*. 2004;239(2):162–171; doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000109149.77194.6c.
5. Van Bemmelen PS, Mattos MA, Faught WE, Mansour MA, Barkmeier LD, Hodgson KJ, et al. Augmentation of blood flow in limbs with occlusive arterial disease by intermittent calf compression. *J Vasc Surg*. 1994;19(6):1052–1058.
6. Delis KT, Husmann MJ, Cheshire NJ, Nicolaidis AN. Effects of intermittent pneumatic compression of the calf and thigh on arterial calf inflow: a study of normals, claudicants, and grafted arteriopathies. *Surgery*. 2001;129(2):188–195; doi: 10.1067/msy.2001.110023.
7. Morris RJ, Woodcock JP. Effects of supine intermittent compression on arterial inflow to the lower limb. *Arch Surg*. 2002;137(11):1269–1273; doi: 10.1001/archsurg.137.11.1269.
8. Labropoulos N, Leon LR Jr, Bhatti A, Melton S, Kang SS, Mansour AM, et al. Hemodynamic effects of intermittent pneumatic compression in patients with critical limb ischaemia. *J Vasc Surg*. 2005;42(4):710–716; doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2005.05.051.
9. Delis KT, Husmann MJ, Szendro G, Peters NS, Wolfe JH, Mansfield AO. Haemodynamic effects of intermittent pneumatic compression of the leg after infrainguinal arterial bypass grafting. *Br J Surg*. 2004;91:429–434; doi: 10.1002/bjs.4482.

10. Delis KT, Nicolaidis AN. Effect of intermittent pneumatic compression of foot and calf on walking distance, hemodynamics, and quality of life in patients with arterial claudication: a prospective randomized controlled study with 1-year follow-up. *Ann Surg.* 2005;241(3):431–441.
11. Mosti G, Iabichella ML, Partsch H. Compression therapy in mixed ulcers increases venous output and arterial perfusion. *J Vasc Surg.* 2012;55(1):122–128; doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2011.07.071.
12. Jacobs DG, Piotrowski JJ, Hoppensteadt DA, Salvator AE, Fareed J. Hemodynamic and fibrinolytic consequences of intermittent pneumatic compression: preliminary results. *J Trauma.* 1996;40(5):710–716.
13. Chen AH, Frangos SG, Kliaru S, Sumpio BE. Intermittent pneumatic compression devices – physiological mechanisms of action. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2001;21(5):383–392; doi: 10.1053/ejvs.2001.1348.
14. Kamm R, Butcher R, Froelich J, Johnson M, Salzman E, Shapiro A, et al. Optimization of indices of external pneumatic compression for prophylaxis against deep vein thrombosis: radionuclide gated imagining studies. *Cardiovasc Res.* 1986;20(8):588–596; doi: 10.1093/cvr/20.8.588.
15. Christen Y, Wütschert R, Weimer D, de Moerloose P, Kruithof EK, Bounameaux H. Effects of intermittent pneumatic compression on venous haemodynamics and fibrinolytic activity. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis.* 1997;8(3):185–190; doi: 10.1097/00001721-199704000-00005.
16. Sutkowska E, Wozniowski M, Gamian A, Gosk-Bierska I, Alexewicz P, Sutkowski K, et al. Intermittent pneumatic compression in stable claudicants: effect on hemostasis and endothelial function. *Int Angiol.* 2009;28(5):373–379.
17. Comerota AJ. Intermittent pneumatic compression: physiologic and clinical basis to improve management of venous leg ulcers. *J Vasc Surg.* 2011;53(4):1121–1129; doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2010.08.059.
18. McCulloch JM, Marler KC, Neal MB, Phifer TJ. Intermittent pneumatic compression improves venous ulcer healing. *Adv Wound Care.* 1994;7(4):22–24.
19. Smith PC, Sarin S, Hasty J, Scurr JH. Sequential gradient pneumatic compression enhances venous ulcer healing: a randomized trial. *Surgery.* 1990;108(5):871–875.
20. Mulder G, Robinson J, Seeley J. Study of sequential compression therapy in the treatment of non-healing chronic venous ulcers. *Wounds.* 1990;2(3):111–115.
21. Schuler JJ, Maibenco T, Megerman J, Ware M, Montalvo J. Treatment of chronic venous ulcers using sequential gradient intermittent pneumatic compression. *Phlebology.* 1996;11(3):111–116; doi: 10.1177/026835559601100309.
22. Alpagut U, Dayioglu E. Importance and advantages of intermittent external pneumatic compression therapy in venous stasis ulceration. *Angiology.* 2005;56(1):19–23; doi: 10.1177/000331970505600103.
23. Nikolovska S, Arsovski A, Damevska K, Gocev G, Pavlova L. Evaluation of two different intermittent pneumatic compression cycle settings in the healing of venous ulcers: a randomized trial. *Med Sci Monit.* 2005;11(7):CR337–343.
24. Kumar S, Walker MA. The effects of intermittent pneumatic compression on the arterial and venous system of the lower limb: a review. *J Tissue Viability.* 2002;12(2):58–60; doi: 10.1016/S0965-206X(02)80015-8.
25. Nelson EA, Hillman A, Thomas K. Intermittent pneumatic compression for treating venous leg ulcers. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014;12(5):CD001899; doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD001899.pub4.
26. Geerts WH, Bergqvist D, Pineo GF, Heit JA, Samama CM, Lassen MR, et al. Prevention of venous thromboembolism: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). *Chest.* 2008;133(6 Suppl):381S–453S; doi: 10.1378/chest.08-0656.
27. Falck-Ytter Y, Francis CW, Johanson NA, Curley C, Dahl OE, Schulman S, et al. Prevention of VTE in orthopedic surgery patients: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2 Suppl):e278S–e325S; doi: 10.1378/chest.11-2404.
28. Pour AE, Keshavarzi NR, Purtil JJ, Sharkey PF, Parvizi J. Is venous foot pump effective in prevention of thromboembolic disease after joint arthroplasty: a meta-analysis. *J Arthroplasty.* 2013;28(3):410–417; doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2012.08.003.
29. Colwell CW Jr, Froimson MI, Anseth SD, Giori NJ, Hamilton WG, Barrack RL, et al. A mobile compression device for thrombosis prevention in hip and knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2014;96(3):177–183; doi: 10.2106/JBJS.L.01031.
30. McAsey CJ, Gargiulo JM, Parks NL, Hamilton WG. Patient satisfaction with mobile compression devices following total hip arthroplasty. *Orthopedics.* 2014;37(8):e673–e677; doi: 10.3928/01477447-20140728-51.
31. Zawilska K, Bała M, Błędowski P, Chmielewski DW, Dobrowolski Z, Frączek M, et al. (ed.). Polish guidelines for prophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism. 2012 update [in Polish]. *Medycyna Praktyczna.* 2012, special edition.
32. Dayes IS, Whelan TJ, Julian JA, Parpia S, Pritchard KI, D'Souza DP, et al. Randomized trial of decongestive lymphatic therapy for the treatment of lymphedema in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(30):3758–3763; doi: 10.1200/JCO.2012.45.7192.
33. Fife CE, Davey S, Maus EA, Guillod R, Mayrovitz HN. A randomized controlled trial comparing two types of pneumatic compression for breast cancer-related lymphedema treatment in the home. *Support Care Cancer.* 2012;20(12):3279–3286; doi: 10.1007/s00520-012-1455-2.
34. Haghghat S, Lotfi-Tokaldany M, Yunesian M, Akbari ME, Nazemi F, Weiss J. Comparing two treatment methods for post mastectomy lymphedema: complex decongestive therapy alone and in combination with intermittent pneumatic compression. *Lymphology.* 2010;43(1):25–33.
35. Kozanoglu E, Basaran S, Paydas S, Sarpel T. Efficacy of pneumatic compression and low-level laser therapy in the treatment of postmastectomy lymphoedema: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil.* 2009;23(2):117–124; doi: 10.1177/0269215508096173.
36. Lasinski BB, Thrift KM, Squire D, Austin MK, Smith KM, Wanchai A, et al. A systematic review of the evidence for complete decongestive therapy in the treatment of lymphedema from 2004 to 2011. *PM R.* 2012;4(8):580–601; doi: 10.1016/j.pmrj.2012.05.003.
37. Moattari M, Jaafari B, Talei A, Piroozi S, Tahmasebi S, Zakeri Z. The effect of combined decongestive therapy and pneumatic compression pump on lymphedema indicators in patients with breast cancer related lymphedema. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2012;14(4):210–217.
38. Shao Y, Qi K, Zhou QH, Zhong DS. Intermittent pneumatic compression pump for breast cancer-related lymphedema: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Oncol Res Treat.* 2014;37(4):170–174; doi: 10.1159/000360786.
39. Taradaj J, Halski T, Ozon M, Rajfur J, Pasternok M, Kwaśna K, et al. Comparison of efficacy of the intermittent pneumatic compression with various pressures in reduc-

- ing the primary lower extremity venous lymphedema of menopausal patients. *Menopause Rev* 2013;17(6):472–477; doi: 10.5114/pm.2013.39811.
40. Webber A. Acute soft-tissue injuries in the young athlete. *Clin Sports Med*. 1988;7(3):611–624.
 41. Dai G, Tsukurov O, Chen M, Gertler JP, Kamm RD. Endothelial nitric oxide production during in vitro simulation of external limb compression. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2002;282(6):H2066–H2075; doi: 10.1152/ajp-heart.00288.2001.
 42. Montori VM, Kavros SJ, Walsh EE, Rooke TW. Intermittent compression pump for nonhealing wounds in patients with limb ischemia. The Mayo Clinic experience (1998–2000). *Int Angiol*. 2002;21(4):360–366.
 43. Williams KJ, Babber A, Ravikumar R, Davies AH. Non-invasive management of peripheral arterial disease. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2017;906:387–406; doi: 10.1007/5584_2016_129.
 44. Moran PS, Teljeur C, Harrington P, Ryan M. A systematic review of intermittent pneumatic compression for critical limb ischaemia. *Vasc Med*. 2015;20(1):41–50; doi: 10.1177/1358863X14552096.
 45. Abu Dabrh AM, Steffen MW, Asi N, Undavalli C, Wang Z, Elamin MB, et al. Nonrevascularization-based treatments in patients with severe or critical limb ischemia. *J Vasc Surg*. 2015;62(5):1330–1339; doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2015.07.069.
 46. Sultan S, Hamada N, Soylu E, Fahy A, Hynes N, Tawfick W. Sequential compression biomechanical device in patients with critical limb ischemia and nonreconstructible peripheral vascular disease. *J Vasc Surg*. 2011;54(2):440–446; doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2011.02.057.
 47. Kavros SJ, Delis KT, Turner NS, Voll AE, Liedl DA, Głowiczki P, et al. Improving limb salvage in critical ischemia with intermittent pneumatic compression: a controlled study with 18-month follow-up. *J Vasc Surg*. 2008;47(3):543–549; doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2007.11.043.
 48. Zaki M, Elsherif M, Tawfick W, El Sharkawy M, Hynes N, Sultan S. The role of sequential pneumatic compression in limb salvage in non-reconstructable critical limb ischemia. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2016;51(4):565–571; doi: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2015.12.025.
 49. Alvarez OM, Wendelken ME, Markowitz L, Comfort C. Effect of high-pressure, intermittent pneumatic compression for the treatment of peripheral arterial disease and critical limb ischemia in patients without a surgical option. *Wounds*. 2015;27(11):293–301.
 50. Dillon RS. Fifteen years of experience in treating 2177 episodes of foot and leg lesions with the circulator boot. Results of treatments with the circulator boot. *Angiology*. 1997;48(5):17–34; doi: 10.1177/0003319797048005S03.
 51. Vella A, Carlson LA, Blier B, Felty C, Kuiper JD, Rooke TW. Circulator boot therapy alters the natural history of ischemic limb ulceration. *Vasc Med*. 2000;5(1):21–25; doi: 10.1177/1358836X0000500104.
 52. Delis KT, Husmann MJ, Nicolaidis AN, Wolfe JH, Cheshire NJ. Enhancing foot skin blood flux in peripheral vascular disease using intermittent pneumatic compression: controlled study on claudicants and grafted arterio-paths. *World J Surg*. 2002;26(7):861–866; doi: 10.1007/s00268-001-0297-8.
 53. Pawlaczyk K, Gabriel M, Urbanek T, Dzieciuchowicz Ł, Krasieński Z, Gabriel Z, et al. Effects of intermittent pneumatic compression on reduction of postoperative lower extremity edema and normalization of foot microcirculation flow in patients undergoing arterial revascularization. *Med Sci Monit*. 2015;21:3986–3992; doi: 10.12659/MSM.895229.
 54. Filip JR, Dillon RS. Treatment of end-stage “trash feet” with the end-diastolic pneumatic boot. *Angiology*. 2008;59(2):214–219; doi: 10.1177/0003319707305984.

Received: 31.03.2017

Revised: 01.05.2017

Accepted: 08.05.2017

Address for correspondence

Edyta Sutkowska
Department and Division of Medical Rehabilitation
Wrocław Medical University
ul. Borowska 213
50-556 Wrocław, Poland
e-mail: edytasutkowska@yahoo.com